



RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 18 2004

650 625 8110 P.01

401 Castro Street
Mountain View, CA 94041-2007
T 650.625.8100
F 650.625.8110

www.sughrue.com

OFFICIAL

FAX

Date August 18, 2004

To Ex. Seyed H. AZARIAN
Group Art Unit: 2625

Of U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax 703 872-9306

From Jason C. Beckstead, Reg. No. 48,232

Subject Statement of Substance of Interview

Our Ref Q62889 Your Ref USSN 09/773,248

Pages 3
(including cover sheet)

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

In re application of Docket No: Q62889
Scott KELLER, et al.
Appn. No.: 09/773,248 Group Art Unit: 2625
Confirmation No.: 4339 Examiner: Seyed H. AZARIAN
Filed: February 1, 2001
For: IMAGE TRANSMITTING SYSTEM, IMAGE TRANSMITTING METHOD AND STORAGE MEDIUM
PAPER ENTITLED: STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW 2 pages

AUG 18 2004

OFFICIAL PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q62889

Scott KELLER, et al.

Appn. No.: 09/773,248

Group Art Unit: 2625

Confirmation No.: 4339

Examiner: Seyed H. AZARIAN

Filed: February 01, 2001

For: IMAGE TRANSMITTING SYSTEM, IMAGE TRANSMITTING METHOD AND
STORAGE MEDIUM**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
August 17, 2004.

REMARKS

During the interview, the Examiner and Applicant's representative (J. Beckstead, Reg. No. 48,232) discussed the Office Action of March 17, 2004. More specifically, independent claims 1 – 8, 10 and 12 – 14, and dependent claims 15 – 16, were discussed in view of U.S.P. Nos. 5,384,643 and 6,396,941 to Inga and Bacus, respectively.

Applicant's representative asserted that the Inga and Bacus references were at least deficient as follows:

- L for failing to teach or suggest limitations found in the phrase "predetermined subscription conditions[,] as recited by Applicant's claims; and